

-----Original Message-----

From: Glennis Dolce <glennisd@me.com>

Sent: Monday, January 22, 2024 7:25 PM

To: Council District 9 <District9@longbeach.gov>; Council District 8 <District8@longbeach.gov>; Council District 7 <District7@longbeach.gov>; Council District 1 <District1@longbeach.gov>; Council District 5 <District5@longbeach.gov>; Council District 2 <District2@longbeach.gov>; Council District 3 <District3@longbeach.gov>; Council District 4 <District4@longbeach.gov>; Council District 6 <District6@longbeach.gov>; Mayor <Mayor@longbeach.gov>; CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>

Subject: agenda item 14 1/23/24

-EXTERNAL-

Dear Council Members,

Please have a discussion on this item regarding whether this subsidy will really make much of an impact on leaded avgas pollution when you consider the following:

So two years. At \$100,000 a year. At a cost difference of \$3.80 a gallon that's about 24,000 gallons per year or 2000 gallons per month of UL94 (or any UL that becomes available- I'm assuming, and not just Swift Air avgas)

They are currently selling about 70,000 gallons a month of the 100LL at LGB according to a recent LBPost article.

So 2000 gallons a month would be subsidized while about 68,000 gallons of leaded avgas would continue to be sold.

Does this seem like a substantial effort to reduce lead pollution over neighborhoods?

Have the conversation in public and ask the questions about the numbers.

Thank you.

Glennis Dolce
Cal Heights