

**From:** Gaby Castañeda <gabriellacastaneda@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 7:21 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; +District5@longbeach.gov; +District6@longbeach.gov

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Gaby Castañeda  
Long Beach Resident, 90807

**From:** Devin Charles <devinccharles@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:15 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; +District5@longbeach.gov; +District6@longbeach.gov

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Devin Charles  
LB District 2 resident

**From:** Cassidy Davidson <cassady.dvd@outlook.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 9:50 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; Council District 5 <District5@longbeach.gov>; Council District 6 <District6@longbeach.gov>

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Cassady Davidson  
90815  
District 4  
Long Beach resident

**From:** Antonia Diaz <tonifab1990@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 5:00 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>

**Subject:** Comment on Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Kindly,

Antonia F. Diaz

Long Beach Resident

**From:** James Gary <gumotaku@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:29 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment on Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
James Gary  
Long Beach Resident

**From:** Kaferman Guan <kaferman.guan@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:51 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; +District5@longbeach.gov; +District6@longbeach.gov

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

Hi City Council,

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras, but it's imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term one. Speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding 24/7 and they do so without needing traffic stops that are dangerous for both drivers and police. But we must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding the camera footage after it's collected as well as investing those funds back into multimodal transit infrastructure.

Furthermore, drivers will adapt to where the cameras are currently placed and only slow down only where the cameras are located, or drivers will speed on the next street over to avoid being caught by said cameras. This is neither a sustainable nor holistic approach. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used though to quickly mitigate speeding in consistently high-risk areas, such as near schools or thoroughfares known for racing. I'd like to see a plan from Public Works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

I understand that speed cameras carry the risk of disproportionately affecting low income residents and residents of the low income neighborhood that cameras can be put in. We *\*should\** put into place ways of mitigating negative consequences and flagging false positives, but the fear of negative financial consequences cannot outweigh the harm reduction approach that comes with slowing down vehicle speeds. Drivers' aversion to financial penalty should not supersede the saving of children, elderly, and pedestrian lives in our neighborhoods and in our Vision Zero goals.

Thank you,

K. Guan

Long Beach Resident, 90813, CD6

**From:** Heather Hoffman <hcdhoffman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:37 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment on Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

Hello,

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras.

**The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure.** Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. **The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.** Give us roundabouts, narrow lanes, protected bike lanes, speed bumps, curb outs, etc. etc.!

Thank you,  
Heather Hoffman  
Long Beach Resident

**From:** Kerry Beth <kbethlarick@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 5:17 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; +District5@longbeach.gov; +District6@longbeach.gov

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Kerry Beth Larick  
[90803/council district 3/Long Beach Resident



**From:** Nick Russo <nicholas.j.russo@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 5:38 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment on City Council Item 17 for Council meeting 3/19/2024

**-EXTERNAL-**

Hello,

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,

Nick Russo  
Long Beach Resident

—

Nick Russo

**From:** Eric Seitz <eric.seitz@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 5:01 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; Council District 3 <District3@longbeach.gov>

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

Mrs. Duggan and other Honorable Councilmembers,

I support the implementation of speed cameras as a temporary tool, used to make our streets safer and bring us on track to meet Vision Zero goals. Our streets are unnecessarily dangerous. Traffic enforcement needs are impossible for our police force to keep up with--using technology to improve the quality of life of residents is a must.

The long-term solution to our city-wide challenge of destruction from speeding, reckless, intoxicated, and distracted drivers is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools--or at troubled intersections. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Frederick "Eric" Seitz  
90803/District 3 Resident

**From:** Marcel Sereboff <msereboff@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:17 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; +District5@longbeach.gov; +District6@longbeach.gov

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Marcel Sereboff  
District 4

**From:** Thomas Sim <tj.tsim@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:12 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment on Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,

Thomas Sim  
Long Beach Resident

**From:** Erin Springer <espringer43@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 6:18 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment on Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

Hello,

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic-calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Erin Springer  
Long Beach Resident

**From:** Justin Williams <willjust6@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2024 4:16 PM

**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@longbeach.gov>; +District5@longbeach.gov; +District6@longbeach.gov

**Subject:** Comment - Item 17 - Pro

**-EXTERNAL-**

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Public Comment on Agenda Item 17 - Pro

I support the implementation of speed cameras. However, it is imperative that we treat speed cameras as an interim solution and not a long-term solution. In other cities, speed cameras reduce dangerous speeding and they do so without traffic stops, which are dangerous for both drivers and police. We must further reduce harm by ethically collecting and discarding camera footage.

Over time, drivers will know where the cameras are, which will result in drivers who slow down only where the camera is or drivers who speed on the next street over to avoid the cameras. The long-term solution is traffic calming infrastructure. Speed cameras should be used to quickly mitigate speeding in high-risk areas, such as near schools. I would like to see a plan from public works that incorporates using the speed cameras for a set amount of time in each location. The revenue generated should be used to fund long-term traffic calming infrastructure in these locations and once this infrastructure is set up, the speed cameras should be moved to the next high-risk location.

Thank you,  
Justin Williams  
zip: 90813